Faculty Affairs Committee (FAC) MeetingNovember § 2017

Minutes:

Meeting began at 1:00 p.m., Duckering Building, Room 582 tes by Jeff May

## Present:

x Committee Members: Andy Anger, Josh Greenberg, Jeff May, Sine Acadridan Williams, Ataur Chowdhury D Approval of Minutes flast Meeting:

Minutes discussed and approved.

## ContinuedBusiness:

1. Grade Appeal Process

FAC reviewed the propostopolicy language changes that would developed in consultation Counsel during a recent meeting with them.

There was Motion to move this document with these changes ADCOM. Jeff oved. Gordon seconded. All Mayor.

Sine will send a courtesy copy to UAF General Council to respond to if there aterimy issues.

## 2. Shared Governance Discussion:

Sine explained her reaction the recent guest speaker from University of OregonTitle IX issuesThe speakerspoke of the importance of getting faculty involved in the creation of Title IX policies for UA. That speaker discussed how the Title IX can and should be changed to not make instructors mandatory reporters. Sine used this as an example of better shared governance (creating a Title IX policy with faculty input).

The group discussed the University First Year Experience Course proposed/loge ProvostAlex Fitts during the most recent Faculty Senate meeting the past, the class has been optional and few students that need it have taken it. In the past the class was free to the bed by the will be a one-credit course that will be required of these arisk students. There was some debate about whether the one credit class will meet the goal of the course and whether this proposal is being driven by the Provost office or is it three sult of perceived needs by faculty. Have faculty had a part in developing it?

## 3. Ownership Rights on Courses Developed at the Univergitscussion

SineAnahitareported on some new information she obtained from talking with eLearning about ownership rights for courses developed with eLearning assistance.

- X The CBA speaks of three classifications of work: (1) Independent Efforts; (2) University-Supported Efforts; and (3) University-Sponsored Efforts. See e.g. AAUP/AFT CBA, Section 14.3.
- X eLearning apparently has not been asking faculty to sign development contracts as universitysponsored in recent years (stopped doing that about 4 years ago). Since that time, development contracts and teaching contracts as overload assignments have been jointly issued by eLearning and

There was brief discussion about where the Exonmittee should go from here. Jeff May voiced that it was not his interest in taking a position on rights, as much as a desire that the FAC collect the relevant information and disseminate it out to the faculty so that they have a better understanditing issue and the applicable rules before they develop courses (particularly in the online environment). The meeting ended before a decision on this topic was completed.