Curricular Affairs Committee Draft Meeting Minutes for Mon., Mar. 23, 2015 – 1-2pm, Runcorn Room

Present: Brian Cook, Chair; Ken Abramowicz; Casey Byrne; Rob Duke (phone); Libby Eddy; Alex Fitts; Cathy Hanks; Linda Hapsmith (phone); Cindy Hardy; Jayne Harvie; Joan Hornig; Stacey Howdeshell (phone); Rainer Newberry; Caty Oehring; Todd Radenbaugh (remote); Holly Sherouse.

I. Approve minutes from Mar. 9 meeting (attached)

Meeting minutes for March 9 were approved as submitted.

II. Catalog Changes (Recommended by Registrar Libby Eddy)

A. From page 46 of the 14-15 catalog:

DF Deferred — This designation is used for courses such as theses and special projects, which require more than one semester to complete. It indicates that course requirements cannot be completed or when institutional equipment breakdown resulted in noncompletion by the end of the semester. Credit may be withheld without penalty until the course requirements are met within an approved time.

- 1.
- ng a time frame and then ultimately not choosing to assign a final grade, preferring the DF to giving a student a failing grade. A DF is not like an incomplete (I), which defaults to an F after one year. At the moment, a DF can remain indefinitely on a student's transcript. They do not receive credit until a grade is assigned, but it also doesn't count for or against their GPA.
- 2. For clarity, DF grades can be assigned to undergraduate or graduate students; CAC is only considering the DF's use for UNDERGRADUATE students.
- 3. The wording of "within an approved time" matches the language in UA regulations. The question is if UAF should have additional guidelines for assigning the DF grade and/or for how long it can appear on a student's transcript without penalty. And, if there is to be a penalty, what should it be?
- 4. Rainer has recommended a form, similar to the one in use for Incompletes.
- 5. From Libby: "Our students in rural health care practicums and community health programs need more than one year to complete their work. The form would have to allow the faculty to specify a time or have a default time frame (two years?). I've talked with the Provost about the need to have the grade change from DF to something she'd prefer a withdrawal."
- 6. There are also a consi

grades; after which remaining "DF" grades would be turned into "W". Libby will be consulting with the Provost on how to resolve the past grades.

The committee decided to send a motion to the Administrative Committee for Faculty Senate. Cindy Hardy also agreed to take the issue to the SADA Committee for input before Administrative Committee meets.

B. Minimum Grade for Certificate and Associate Degree

- 1. Currently is listed as a D, as this was not changed by the move to the C- minimum for Bachelor's Degrees.
- 2. Some students who move into a BA program encounter issues with core and/or major classes they've taken for the Associate's Degree. The D grade counts for their Associate's, but they would have to re-take the class if they move to a Bachelor's program.
- 3. Libby doesn't have a recommendation, but just wants clear confirmation of which grade should be the minimum.

The question isn't that a D is the listed grade; it's that no minimum grade is currently listed, and so confusion about the true minimum grade is common. Do the requirements that specify the C- as the minimum for UAF "Core" courses apply to Certificate and Associate students, when the classes they take are called "certificate requirements" or "degree requirements" and aren't technically called "core." We agreed to interpret the statements on pages 97-99 referring to "Baccalaureate Core" to allow the C- to be used as a minimum grade for those courses only going forward. CAC will have to take up the issue next year, as the original change to C- for "core and major courses" is unclear for certificate and associate's courses, and also may not address baccalaureate requirements (as distinct from core courses). Cindy has indicated that SADA will also begin work on clarifying some of these issues.

III. Old business

A. Definition of Credit Hour

1. Proposed change, from Rainer's subcommittee:

Proposed UAF Faculty Senate Policy on Academic Cfedit existing, butto be removed ____ to be added. A credit hour represents an amount of work that reasonably approximates not less than:

- 1. one hour of classroom or other faculty instruction and a minimum of two hours of out-of-class student work each week for approximately fifteen weeks, or the equivalent amount of work over a different amount of time; or
- 2. <u>at least an equivalent amount of work for other academic activities, including laboratory work, internships, practice, studio work, and other academic work.</u>

[One academic credit hour of non-laboratory instruction at UAF will consist of a minimum of 800 minutes of instruction. It is understood that an average student will be expected to spend 1600 minutes of study and preparation outside of class in order to meet the learning objectives for the unit of credit in lecture.]

The following standards establish the minimum requirements for one academic unit of credit for the course formats

- 800 minutes of lecture <u>or equivalent instructional activities</u> plus 1600 minutes of student work outside of class.
 1600 minutes of laboratory (or studio or other similar activity) plus 800 minutes of student work outside of class.
- 3. 2400 minutes of

- 6. <u>Departments should submit as part of their Communications Plans a clarification</u> for how they will handle the transition away from O/W designators for students who fall under a catalog prior to Fall 2016.
- 7. Faculty Senate should determine how best to assess how well departments and majors are achievig the Communications outcomes as implemented in the Communications plan associated with each program and degree. GERC recommend a long-term committee that can serve as a resource for communications plans.
- 8. Finally, GERC recommends a web page (similar to the SLOA) where communications plans are collected and disseminated across the university.

CAPS = additions
[[]] = deletions

This motion will delete CHANG the following statements from the 2014-15 2016-17 UAF Catalog:

Page 132, Course Recommendations for the Baccalaureate Core, fourth sentence:

Courses meeting the upper division writining tensive and oral communication intensive requirements for the baccalaureate confeORSTUDENTS UNDER CATALOGS PRIOR TO FALL 2016 identified in the course description of the catalog with the following designators:

O-oral communication intensive course

W—writing intensive course

Two courses designated O/2 are required to complete the oral intensive requirement.

And page 133, final section of the listing under "Baccalaureate Core":

[[Upper-Division Writing and Oral Communication

Complete the following at the upper-division level:

Two writing intensive courses designated (W) and one oral communication intensive course designated (O), or two oral communication intensive courses designated (O/2) (see degree and/or major requirements)]

And page 136-7, text in boxes across top row of chart:

[[2 designated upperdivision writing-intensive (W) and either 1 degnated upperdivision oral-intensive (O) course or 2 upperdivision oral-intensive courses designated O/2]]

And page 248, Special or Reserved Numbers, first paragraph, second sentence:

Courses withsuffixes O or W meet upper division writing intensive or oral communication intensive course requirements for the baccalaureate cor<u>FOR STUDENTS UNDER CATALOGS PRIOR</u> TO FALL <u>2016</u>

And page 249, under Course Credits:

O—Oral Communication Intensive Course

W-Writing Intensive Course


Courses meeting uppedivision writing and oral communication intensive requirements for the baccalaureate core are identified in the course descriptisection of the catalog with the suffixes O and WFOR STUDENTS UNDER CATALOGS PRIOR TO FALL 2016

Two courses designated O/2 are required to complete the oral communication intensive requirement.

CAC members agreed to send this discussion item to the Administrative Committee, and possibly the Faculty Senate depending upon the discussion at AdCom. Approval of department plans was discussed at length, as well as the related issue of assessment. Assessment is an important piece of this and is required by the university's accreditation. However, it would be too much work for the Provost's Office and Faculty Senate to review assessment of every department plan. Assessment would be most feasible at the college / school department level. Faculty Senate could possibly review the process that was used to assess outcomes at the department level. Assessment itself is a faculty responsibility.

The items below were not discussed due to time contraints.

B. Statewide Gen Ed committee update – Rainer Newberry 6 m48 0.ddu4u20(r)-18 m48gd (.)Tj 0.26 0 Td (



Questions:

- x Should we specify that during the implementation process, the current table of substitutions for transfer courses would be used to allow students under previous catalogs to fulfill PHC course requirements?
- X Do we wait until later to deal with the proposal for "decorating" courses with the A (Alaska/Arctic), D (Diversity), E (Civic Engagement)?
- x Do we specify a committee to review proposals for listing courses on the arts, humanities, and social science lists? Should it be Core Review? An ad hoc committee? We should ensure that its composition be at minimum one rep from each college or school.

D. Probation/disqualification policy – still on hold.